1	
2	PUBLIC HEARING
3	x
4	In Re:
5	
6	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
7	SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
8	
9	x
10	
11	Jacob Javits
12	Federal Building
13	26 Federal Plaza
14	New York, New York
15	
16	Thursday, June 16, 2005
17	8:45 a.m.
18	
19	
20	REGENCY REPORTING, INC.
21	Certified Shorthand Reporters & Videographers
22	575 Madison Avenue
23	New York, New York 10022
24	(212) 354-0492 Facsimile: (212) 354-0499
25	www.regencyreporting.net

2

NewYork.txt APPEARANCES: SBA MEMBERS: KAREN JANUS, ESQ., Moderator WILLIAM MANGER, Administrator, Region 2 DIANE HEAL, Program Analyst JANET FASANO, Director, Area 1 CELESTE CASTOR, District Counsel ALSO PRESENT: ROBERT M. LEVINE, CM Court Reporter

```
1
        Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2
              MS. JANUS: Good morning. Would
          everyone please take a seat. As most of
3
          you know, this is a public hearing
4
```

	Nov. Manufacture
5	NewYork.txt conducted by the United States Small
6	Business Association at its offices
7	located at 26 Federal Plaza, New York,
8	New York, pursuant to the notice of
9	public hearing published in the Federal
10	Register on May 12, 2005.
11	The purpose of today's hearing is to
12	obtain the views of SBA's stakeholders on
13	how to improve the agency size standards
14	and on whether businesses that are
15	majority owned by venture capital
16	companies should be allowed to
17	participate in the Small Business
18	Innovation Research program.
19	My name is Karen Janus. I am a
20	senior attorney with SBA's Office of
21	General Counsel. And I will be
22	moderating today's hearing. Joining me
23	on the panel are DIANE HEAL, Janet
24	Fasano, and Celeste Castor.
25	DIANE HEAL is a program analyst with

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	SBA's Office of Size Standards in
3	Washington, D.C In this capacity,
4	Diane has been involved in all aspects of
5	SBA's size standards program.
6	Janet Fasano is the area director for
7	SBA's government contracting, Area-1

8	NewYork.txt office which covers New England, New
9	York, New Jersey, Puerto Rico, and the
10	Virgin Islands. In her capacity as area
11	director she signs all size
12	determinations for Area-1. And is
13	involved with the application of size
14	standards as they apply to all of SBA's
15	programs.
16	Celeste Castor is the District
17	Counsel for SBA's New York district
18	office. She's involved in the legal
19	aspects of all of the SBA programs
20	administered by the New York district
21	office, and frequently is called upon to
22	get involved in issues of size and the
23	application of size standards.
24	I wanted to also just note, Diana
25	Perette in the back. If you are with the

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	press, please make sure you speak to
3	Diana and register with her.
4	Also, with us this morning is William
5	Manger, the regional administrator for
6	SBA's Region 2 which covers New York, New
7	Jersey, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin
8	Islands. Bill will be giving the opening
9	remarks for today's hearing.
10	MR. MANGER: Thank you very much,
	Page 4

	NewYork.txt
11	Karen. And good morning. And everyone,
12	welcome to 26 Federal Plaza. I am as
13	Karen just said, I'm Bill Manger. And I
14	am the regional administrator for the SBA
15	in this part of the country. Again, New
16	York State, New Jersey, Puerto Rico, and
17	the Virgin Islands.
18	I am pleased to host today's hearing
19	on size standards in New York. And I
20	oversee all activities in Region 2,
21	including all of the SBA's lending and
22	technical assistance programs.
23	The mission of the SBA is to maintain
24	and strengthen the nation's economy by
25	aiding counseling assisting and

_	Siliati busilless Albittation - Julie 10, 2003
2	protecting the interests of small
3	businesses. And by helping families and
4	businesses recover from national
5	disasters.
6	Size standards is a fundamental issue
7	within SBA since it determines which
8	businesses are eligible for SBA
9	assistance, small business preferences or
10	federal contracts and small business
11	assistance for many other federal
12	programs and regulations.
13	The purpose of today's hearings is to
	Page 5

NewYork.txt hear from you on the issues pertaining to size standards. In particular, on ways SBA may simplify size standards. And other ideas to make size standards easier to understand and use by the general public. As you may know, the SBA has been conducting these hearings across the country this month. By the end of June, eleven public hearings will have been conducted throughout the country. The testimony presented at today's

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 size standard, along with other comments we received to December 2004, advanced notice of proposed rule making will be used to help SBA develop new proposals to further those objectives.

We are also taking the opportunity of these hearings as Karen mentioned to seek the public's views on whether businesses majority owned by venture capital companies should be allowed to participate in a small business innovation program.

On behalf of myself and Administrator Hector Baretto, we thank you for taking time out of your busy schedules to

17	NewYork.txt participate in this vitally important
18	effort.
19	We'll now turn the hearing back over
20	to Karen Janus who will introduce the
21	panelists. She's actually already done
22	that. And go over the ground rules how
23	the hearing is to be conducted.
24	Thank you very much for being here.
25	MS. JANUS: Thank you, Bill. Before
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	we begin taking public testimony, I would
3	like to explain the format for the
4	hearing and go over the ground rules with
5	respect to oral testimony.
6	First of all, as published in the
7	Federal Register, today's meeting is
8	scheduled to last until 5:30 p.m
9	However, if everyone interested in
10	testifying has had
11	the opportunity to do so prior to 5:30,
12	the hearing will be adjourned earlier.
13	And in our case, I believe the hearing
14	will be adjourned much earlier. In fact,

15

16 17

18

19

There will be a mid-morning break, and a lunch break, if necessary. 76 individuals registered to attend today's

it probably will be adjourned before

lunchtime.

Page 7

20	NewYork.txt hearing. 30 of whom pre-registered to
21	testify. If there is anyone present in
22	the hearing room who did not pre-
23	register to provide testimony but now
24	wishes to do so, please speak to somebody
25	at the registration table. Lilly or
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	Anna. And they will add your name to the
3	list.
4	Size standards. All oral testimony
5	presented at today's hearing will be
6	recorded and transcribed by our court
7	reporter. And I'd like to ask you all
8	when you do come up and present your
9	testimony, to make sure that you speak
10	clearly and distinctly so the court
11	reporter can record everything that you
12	say correctly.
14	say correctly.

If you have a written copy of your testimony or supplemental materials and haven't already done so, I would encourage you to place it on the registration table. If you need your testimony to speak, then please just place it on this table right here after you're finished.

All of the testimony from today's hearing, whether written or oral, as well

Page 8

NewYork.txt as any supplemental materials you provide 23 will become part of the administrative 24 25 record that SBA considers when it resumes 1 Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 2 deliberations on the issues that are the subject of the hearing. 3 As most of you know, today's hearing 4 is based on a number of events beginning 5 6 with SBA's publication of a proposed rule to restructure its small business size 7 standards in March of 2004. 8 9 The proposed rules proposed a 10 reduction of the number of size standards levels from 37 to 10 and the conversion 11 of receipt-based size standards to 12 13 employee-based size standards. After studying the concerns 14 15 expressed by members of the public who

10

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

expressed by members of the public who responded to SBA's request for comments on the proposed rule, SBA decided that it needed to gather additional public input on a number of issues. Most of which were raised in the comments. So the agency withdrew the proposed rule and decided to pursue two courses of action to gather more public input.

First, on December 3, 2004, SBA

Page 9

published an advanced notice of proposed

11

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	rule making, requesting comments on how
3	to simplify and improve SBA's size
4	standards in general and on eleven
5	specific topics. Most of which were
6	based on the comments to the proposed
7	rule and related policy issues. The time
8	for commenting on the issues listed in
9	the advanced notice of proposed rule
10	making closed on April 3, 2005.
11	Second, SBA decided to conduct a
12	series of public hearings throughout the
13	country to provide interested parties
14	with an opportunity to meet with SBA
15	officials and express their views on size
16	standards in general, as well as on the
17	eleven topics specified in the advanced
18	notice of proposed rule making. This is
19	one of the hearings in that series.
20	Which brings us to the rules
21	governing the hearing. As stated in the
22	notice of public hearing, if you would
23	like to testify at today's hearing your
24	testimony must pertain either to the
25	general topic of how to make SBA size

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	standards easier to use and understand,
3	or to one or more of the eleven specific
4	topics identified in the advanced notice
5	of proposed rule making.
6	Those include, 1, the approach to
7	simplify size standards; 2, the
8	calculation of number of employees
9	including how SBA defines an employee for
10	size purposes; 3, the use of receipts-
11	based size standards; 4, the designation
12	of size standards for federal
13	procurements; 5, the establishment of
14	separate and distinct size standards for
15	use solely in federal determined
16	programs; 6, the establishment of tiered
17	size standards; 7, the simplification of
18	the affiliation regulations; 8, the
19	simplification of the small business
20	joint venture eligibility regulations; 9,
21	the possible grandfathering of small
22	business eligibility; 10, the impact of
23	SBA's size standards on the regulations
24	of other federal agencies; and 11, the
25	possible participation of businesses

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	majority owned by venture capital
3	companies in the Small Business Page 11

Innovation Research program and the 4 5 effect such participation would have on 6 the program. 7 You must stay on the topics I just listed. If you veer off these topics you 8 9 will be stopped and asked either to return to one of the above topics or to 10 be seated. Individuals will be called to 11 testify in the order established by the 12 13 pre-registration sign-up sheet. 14 when you hear your name, please step 15 up to the podium and use the microphone 16 to address the panel. Before you begin 17 your testimony, please state your name 18 and title as well as the name of the 19 organization on whose behalf you are 20 testifying. Oral testimony will be limited to 21 22 five minutes per speaker. Sandy Liu and 23 Melinda Chen Wu, would you wave, will 24 serve as our timekeepers. They will display. We have our cards. They'll

25

L	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	display a green card when you have one
3	minute left. A yellow card when you have
1	30 seconds left. And a red card when you
5	are out of time.
5	Oh, I should also mention if everyone Page 12

7 could turn off their cell phones, we appreciate that. Please note that once 8 you have finished your presentation, 9 10 panel members may ask you questions to 11 ensure that we fully understand your testimony. 12 Finally, because the purpose of the 13 14 hearing is to gather your opinions and 15 ideas, members of the panel will not 16 indicate whether or not they agree or disagree with your views. And will not 17 18 engage in any debate with you. 19 Now that we've established the ground 20 rules for the hearing, I would like to 21 begin accepting public testimony, okay. 22 I'd like to call the first presenter, 23 Shan Shammugan. And after Shan will be 24 Sasha Rash. 25 MR. SHAMMUGAN: Thank you very much

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	for this opportunity to give testimony.
3	Let me introduce myself. My name is
4	Shan Shammugan. I'm the principal of SCA
5	Engineering. And I want to thank the
6	SBA. Years ago I was in the program where
7	I got a start. I was in the program for
8	nine years. We work for Army Corps.,
9	Coast Guard, Department of Justice and Page 13

other agencies and so on. 10 11 I graduated in 1989. After that it is very difficult to compete with the big 12 businesses. There are businesses in our 13 14 industry. Example, next block to me is a 15 business, number 1 in the country. They are making \$2 billion every year. And 16 17 there is no way that we can compete with those people. Those size standards is 18 19 very, very critical. The SBA can choose 20 to address the small businesses or they 21 can go with all businesses and completely 22 do away with the small business. Because 23 you know I'm an immigrant. I came with 24 nothing. I went to school. And I'm 25 working in this industry for the last 34

16

1 Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 2 vears. I worked on the World Trade Center when it was built. And I worked 3 there in the building. And now it's 4 5 gone. When I see the thing I literally cry. And we are all working hard. 6 7 I wanted to share something with you, 8 you know, because you are part and parcel 9 of the SBA. When I worked with the Small 10 Business, I know these people, the business with the working parties. We 11 are all working, 80 of us, 100 of us, 200 12 Page 14

13	of us a week to make this business grow.
14	And what does small business mean? You
15	are creating jobs. 90 percent, 80
16	percent of the jobs are created by small
17	business. And they bring in innovation.
18	They fuel the economy. And if we don't
19	do that the whole thing will be done.
20	Our country will be down like other Third
21	World countries. Please consider this.
22	We are not against big business. We are
23	not against big business. But without
24	your support we cannot compete.
25	And now, going back to this now,

Τ	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	I know I'm on the engineering and the
3	area agency and so on. We have having a
4	dollar system now. We can go to the
5	employee system. There's nothing wrong
6	with that. But the number of people in
7	that system cannot be. There are 1,000
8	or so. It has to be right now.
9	New York State is saying we must have
10	35 people to be a small business. And I
11	can understand. You cannot have five
12	people. We cannot have 500 people. We
13	have to strike a balance. If you want to
14	have 50, that's going to work.
15	Similarly, we cannot have

16	grandfathered somebody that's already
17	achieved like the program I was in.
18	After nine years they say it's out.
19	That's far enough. You gave me a chance.
20	We worked on it. We want to move on.
21	But I can't be there all the time, taking
22	away opportunities of all other my
23	brothers and sisters. It's not right.
24	And without your support, without your
25	advocacy, the small businesses cannot

18

1 Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 2 survive. We cannot compete with the 3 government businesses. So, please, I'm begging you, I'm 4 5 begging you because it's a crucial thing. I see that every day. Every day for me 6 7 to work. See, I work with the New York State DOT. I'm working with the DEC. 8 I'm working with DASNI. To win the job 9 10 is a big battle. All these qualifications. They always tell you 11 12 it's based on qualifications and so on. 13 Don't believe any of them. It's all nonsense. Nobody is going to give you a 14 15 job unless -- and you cannot compete with 16 the businesses. And I have a list of these people here. 17 18 For example, I'd be happy to answer

Page 16

any of the questions. I don't want to 19 take too much time. Also, I see here the 20 21 companies like Raytheon, Northrup-Grumman. And also all these people they 22 23 don't belong in here. They have all the 24 resources to get the job. And unless you're out of business, please consider 25 19 1 Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 2 this. If there is any question, I mean, 3 I can go on and so on. That's the gist of it. 4 5 Grandfathering is no good. Tier 6 system we can have for different 7 industries. We can have different sizes 8 because it varies widely. What happens to employment. What happens to 9 securities? We can do that and so on. 10 Thank you very much for this 11 12 opportunity. I am always available, not 13 only here, elsewhere also to work with 14 the SBA. 15 One more thing, I worked with Dr. 16 Ellis, Clay Martin, all these people. 17 They are good folks. They are always trying to help. And I'm here to work 18 19 with you. Thank you very much. 20 MS. HEAL: Mr. Shammugan, one before

Page 17

you leave.

address and information because our

Could you give your company name and

20

22

23

24	registration didn't have that on there.
25	I want to make sure that's there.
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	MR. SHAMMUGAN: Yes, we can do that.
3	MS. HEAL: You said you're an
4	architect and engineering firm?
5	MR. SHAMMUGAN: I am.
6	MS. HEAL: You are aware that the
7	size standard is \$4 million?
8	MR. SHAMMUGAN: Yes.
9	MS. HEAL: And that the original
10	proposed rule was to switch it to 50
11	employees?
12	MR. SHAMMUGAN: Right.
13	MS. HEAL: Do you have any comments
14	on that?
15	MR. SHAMMUGAN: No. We used to have
16	\$2.5 million before. Now we were going
17	to 4 million, which is okay. And 50 is
18	something that we can work with. That's
19	reasonable/fair, and is going to work for
20	all of us and so on. I agree with that.
21	MS. HEAL: All right. You had also
22	mentioned that the large businesses that
23	you can't compete with, like Raytheon.
24	Can you explain a little more on Page 18

25 that.

Τ	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	MR. SHAMMUGAN: Raytheon, for
3	example. They used to run an engineering
4	company. And then, unfortunately, a
5	couple of years ago they sold it to
6	another company. And Raytheon, as you
7	know, is a multi-billion dollar defense
8	company. And if they want to compete
9	with me, all they have to do is, look, I
10	got 5,000 people and the contracting
11	office will be so scared. Is he going to
12	give it to the company with 5,000 or is
13	he going to give it to somebody with 550
14	people. There is no way we can compete.
15	So unless the SBA stands for us, and do
16	something, we will lose innovation, we
17	will lose the job.
18	See, another thing that I want to
19	share this also. See, these small
20	businesses, because we are neighborhood
21	oriented, community oriented, we are
22	supporting the minorities and all the
23	people who need a job. And again, when
24	you cannot just tell a small businessman
25	you manage a small business.

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	He becomes such a useful citizen. He
3	has no time for anything else. Many
4	times my wife is complaining, what is it.
5	You come here from the office. You are
6	sitting down
7	doing the work. You don't even talk to
8	me. This is the reality. You cannot do
9	that. I can't go to a movie. I can't do
10	anything else because it's completely for
11	the last 30 years. It just takes all
12	day.
13	MS. HEAL: Are you also aware of the
14	Brooks bill?
15	MR. SHAMMUGAN: Brooks bill. I am
16	familiar. Can you just give me in two
17	words
18	MS. HEAL: The Brooks bill is
19	qualification based. And it's the way
20	the federal government does business.
21	And that there are limits by law on what
22	we can set aside for small business and
23	engineering.
24	MR. SHAMMUGAN: Right. I'm familiar
25	with the Brooks thing and so on. But

23

1 Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 Page 20

2	NewYork.txt again, another thing that I want to do
3	MS. HEAL: That's what I wanted to
4	ask you. Because what your complaint, I
5	won't say complaining, what you wanted to
6	testify about may have something that's
7	beyond SBA's control.
8	MR. SHAMMUGAN: Well
9	MS. HEAL: Okay. And that you may
10	want to consider that. That's all.
11	MR. SHAMMUGAN: Well, I agree with
12	you. But again, within the Brooks law we
13	can work with this.
14	Now, you know, your Inspector General
15	made so many recommendations for the
16	years 2004 to 2003 and so on. Some of
17	these we can start addressing. We don't
18	address every one of them.
19	MS. HEAL: They've already been
20	addressed. Sir, they've already been
21	addressed.
22	MR. SHAMMUGAN: Thank you. Thank you
23	very much.
24	MS. HEAL: Did you have any written
25	testimony?

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	MR. SHAMMUGAN: No, I didn't have.
3	MS. JANUS: I'd like to call Sasha
4	Rash up to the podium. And the next
	Page 21

NewYork.txt 5 person after that is Rose Ngadi. 6 MS. RASH: Thank you for the 7 opportunity to speak today. As you 8 stated, my name is Sasha Rash. I am a small business owner in New Jersey. I 9 own a company called La Jolie, which is a 10 11 hair salon that employs about 40 people. 12 I'm also the president of the Salon Association which is a national 13 association of salon owners across North 14 15 America. We represent 7,000 salon locations. 16 17 The size standard issue is something 18 very close to the heart of the 19 professional salon industry because we 20 are so labor-intensive. Dozens of my 21 colleagues have utilized the SBA to 22 expand their businesses. And personally 23 I plan to try and work with the SBA over

24

25

1

25

locations.

While confusing to some, the size standard of \$6 million is not confusing to the professional salon industry. It's very, very clear. And we believe that it's quite fair and quite simple.

the next three years in the strategic

plan to expand my business to multiple

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005

8	NewYork.txt Because we're so labor-intensive, even
9	noted by the Census Bureau to I think the
10	receipts are about, receipts per employee
11	are about \$40,000 in the Census Bureau
12	data. It would be very hard to be
13	anything but employee, anything but size
14	standard around revenue or receipts
15	based. Personally, in my company, our
16	per employee receipts are about \$50,000.
17	Which means we'd have to have about 120
18	employees to meet the six million dollar
19	mark right now. So as you can see, it
20	would be very, very tough for us to deal
21	with the standard that was changed based
22	on employee number.
23	Also, I'm concerned about the full-

Also, I'm concerned about the fulltime employee calculations. Because we have such a labor pool that is often

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	part-time. And even less part-time than
3	what our national standards. Part-time
4	in my industry is about 23 hours or so.
5	And it very much fluctuates. It's a very
6	flexible industry which is very appealing
7	to women in particular. And to calculate
8	hours because of the flexibility
9	involved, because of the lack of or the
10	less than typical standards in my

15	So we
16	goal is
17	salon ind
18	believe ⁻
19	works as
20	it stay.
21	For
22	I believe
23	employee-

13

14

24

25

rates legitimately and accurately.

So we believe, and I believe if the goal is to simplify the professional salon industry for our segment, we believe it is simplified. We believe it works as it stands. And we'd like to see

NewYork.txt industry, it would be very excessive and

very arduous for us to calculate full-

time employees and come up with those

For the reasons mentioned previously, I believe that a conversion to an employee-based size standard would, in fact, make size standards more complicated for the salon industry.

Т	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	Based on the unique characteristics
3	of the salon industry, including the
4	relatively low receipts-to-employee ratio
5	and high proportion of part-time
6	employees, I believe the current
7	receipts-based standard, size standard is
8	the most appropriate approach for the
9	salon industry.
10	As such, I respectfully recommend
11	that no changes are made to the size
12	standards for the professional salon
13	industry. Thank you.

14	NewYork.txt MS. HEAL: Thank you very much.
15	I'm sorry. There was a little mix-up in
16	the registration.
17	MS. RASH: I gave you a business
18	card.
19	MS. HEAL: That's all I need.
20	MS. RASH: Thank you very much.
21	MS. JANUS: Excuse me, I actually
22	misspoke. The next presenter is Namita
23	Kansal and after Namita is Rose Ngadi.
24	She stepped out. Okay. So the next
25	speaker would be Rose Ngadi. She stepped

```
1
          Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
 2
           out as well. Okay. How about Burton
            Louissaint? No. How about Ron Cohen?
 3
                MR. COHEN: Thanks very much for the
 4
 5
            opportunity to speak. I'm Ron Cohen. I
 6
            am the president, CEO, and founder of
 7
            Acorda Therapeutics in New York, a
            biotechnology company that focuses on
 8
 9
            developing novel therapies for people
10
            with spinal cord injuries, and muscular
            sclerosis, and other diseases that affect
11
12
            the central nervous system.
13
                I will address my comments
14
            specifically to the proposal that or the
            rule interpretation regarding the
15
16
            percentage ownership by individuals
```

17	NewYork.txt versus venture capitalists in small
18	businesses. This is a critical issue for
19	the success and furtherance of the
20	biotech industry.
21	Our industry is one of the most
22	innovative engines of growth in the
23	country, in the world today. And is
24	projected to be one of the top, if not
25	the top engine of growth and innovation

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 in industry in the next century, in this coming century.

Already the biotech industry has produced numerous therapies that improve the quality of life or even cure multiple diseases; cancers, HIV, cardiovascular disease, MS, blindness, and the like. As well as producing major improvements in agriculture and industrial processes.

So it is a vital industry. And the SBIR program has been a vital support for this industry from the time of its inception just 25 years ago. I will submit that it, better than almost any other industry, fulfills the true intent of Congress in establishing the SBIR program in terms of supporting major innovations, small business. And overall,

	NewYork.txt
20	the economy and welfare of the nation.
21	I will also submit that it provides
22	possibly the best return on investment
23	that the SBIR program has produced. I'll
24	give you an example from my own company.
25	As I say, we are innovators in therapy

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 for spinal cord injury and MS.

In the early going of my company we had some early stage therapies that for two or three years I could not get funded by venture capitalists. They were regarded as too early, too untested, not proved well enough for the venture capitalists even to take the risks.

We got some SBIR grants, however early, may have been based on the merit of the science. And those grants enabled us to push the technology forward enough that we were able to get venture capitalists finally to come in. And that was actually three and a half years after we started the company.

Now, since that time we have raised \$140 million, most of it in venture capital money to fund the very expensive clinical trials and very science that we have to push forward.

23	NewYork.txt But without the initial basis from these
24	SBIR grants for these projects, we
25	couldn't have done it.
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	So, on the other hand, had this
3	interpretation been in effect at the
4	time, we would not have been able to get
	•
5	the grants. And we very well might not
6	exist today.
7	We have a Phase III drug right now in
8	the last stage of clinical trials that's
9	shown the ability to improve walking and
10	strength in people with MS. That was one
11	of our earlier SBIR grants that helped
12	get that program off the ground.
13	So I think the key to understanding
14	this is that in our industry, although we
15	are small companies, we have 59 people in
16	my company, the costs to develop a new
17	drug are so staggering that individuals
18	cannot possibly do it, unless they are
19	Bill Gates. And even he has his limits.
20	So you need venture capital
21	because it costs up to a billion dollars
22	now, actually an average of a billion
23	dollars to bring a drug from the
24	laboratory to the bedside of the patient.

25

Even in the biotech industry, our

32

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	costs are a little lower than in big
3	pharma, let's say it's 3 to 500 million,
4	you just can't get that from individuals.
5	Furthermore, the distinction of
6	individuals and VCs is an artificial one.
7	Because, in fact, venture capital groups
8	represent the pool investment dollars of
9	many individuals. So as long as they are
10	employee-based, which is required by the
11	statute, there shouldn't be this
12	artificial distinction. We should
13	recognize that a company of 59 people is,
14	in fact, a small business. And in
15	biotech can produce huge benefits based
16	on early SBIR support.
17	Most biotech companies are fewer than
18	100 people, let alone 500. The
19	interpretation of this rule is impeding
20	the development of the industry. And I
21	urge you to reconsider that
22	interpretation which was not defined, as
23	you know, in the original statute. Thank
24	you.
25	MS. HEAL: I only have one question.

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	You had mentioned about individuals. You
3	were aware that there was a change made
4	last year that a firm can now be owned by
5	another firm. It's just that the venture
6	capitalists, when you start looking at
7	that, there is the affiliation issue.
8	MR. COHEN: I'm not sure I
9	understand.
10	MS. HEAL: Last, when was it,
11	December 3, 2004 there was a change in
12	the SBIR regulations that said that a
13	another firm can own an SBIR company.
14	MR. COHEN: Can that firm be more
15	than 51 percent owned by venture capital
16	groups?
17	MS. HEAL: If the affiliation rules
18	kick in and if all the affiliated
19	companies total number of employees is
20	below 500 employees. And I think that's
21	where a lot of the concern is, is in the
22	affiliation.
23	Because a lot of the venture capital
24	firms are several, usually there's
25	several venture capital firms that will

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	own or buy into one company.
3	MR. COHEN: It's unusual for a Page 30

4	venture capital firm to own itself more
5	than 51 percent. For example, we have 35
6	different investor capital groups
7	investing in us. And they owned about 85
8	percent of company. I'm not sure that
9	what you're talking about completely
10	clears up this issue.
11	MS. HEAL: No, it doesn't. But I
12	just wanted to make sure. Because there
13	are some people that are coming around
14	testifying, not aware that there was a
15	change made as far as a company. It's no
16	longer an individual has to own it.
17	MR. COHEN: But it does still have to
18	be more than 51 percent. Right. And
19	that's the key.
20	MS. HEAL: Right.
21	MR. COHEN: And it really needs to be
22	changed because it will hurt this
23	engine of growth in biotech. We already
24	see in our surveys that the biotech, bio
25	industry organization has taken over. 60

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	percent of companies have now said that
3	they have been denied grants and are no
4	longer applying for grants because of
5	this interpretation. And that is already
6	stopping worthy projects from going Page 31

7	forward that the VCs simply will not
8	fund.
9	By the way, that's another key issue.
10	Even if you have a company that has a
11	Phase III project, late stage that the
12	VCs are funding, it doesn't mean if that
13	company's same scientists come up with
14	another brilliant idea that the VCs will
15	permit their money to be used for it.
16	We have a saying right now. We have
17	a terrific technology right now that
18	grows nerves back that allows rats to get
19	up and walk away. The VCs don't want to
20	allocate funding for it. It's too early.
21	They want us to get grant support and
22	prove that it can go to the clinic.
23	Thanks very much.
24	MS. HEAL: Is Sasha Rash back? She
25	spoke. She spoke.

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	How about Namita Kansal?
3	MS. KANSAL: Good morning. Good
4	morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you
5	ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for
6	giving me the opportunity to present
7	today.
8	I will be speaking in very general
9	terms. I have a very small company in Page 32

	NewYork.txt
10	New York. We patented and created a very
11	innovative product. The product is a
12	performance measurement system. It
13	measures.
14	Can you hear me now? I'm sorry. I
15	guess I'm shorter than the previous
16	gentleman.
17	Our company has created a very
18	innovative system of measuring
19	performance. It rates and measures
20	vendors and contractors and their ongoing
21	delivery on a daily, weekly, and a
22	monthly basis.
23	Let's say you have a \$10 million
24	contract with IBM. How do you know \$10
25	million, IBM is delivering \$10 million

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	worth in value and not 2 or 3 or 4 unless
3	you use a system of measuring
4	performance. That's what we do. Our
5	customers believe that it helps them save
6	15 to 20 percent of contractual costs.
7	In terms of what I'm doing here, I
8	just want to make one or two very key
9	points. That as we approach the
10	government to bid for contracts, provide
11	our services to them in terms of
12	measuring performance, for the large Page 33

multimillion dollar contracts as to are we getting value or not, I notice that we don't win any contracts. Partly because we're competing with firms like Accenture or Verpoint or IBM which have no incentive letting us through the door. So we are just, there's no way a small company like ours I realize can win contracts in the government sector unless there is some provision that allows companies like ours which are small to compete within their own peer group.

25 Whether the size standards are based on

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
employee standards or whether they're
based on revenue, it has to be within
your own peer group. That's the only
group that you can actually compete
against. Otherwise, you're going fishing
with a golf club, really. And that was
really my key point.

I could go through a couple of
examples as to what our company has
actually encountered in terms of
government contracts. And mostly we have,
only a company like ours, two choices.
Either get into a transaction with a very
merciless main contractor, which you are
Page 34

16	at the mercy of the large contractor.
17	Your technology can get stolen or you
18	will only get paid once the contract is
19	won, or not compete at all.
20	And I understand that there's a
21	minority legal advocacy group that has
22	proposed a certain tiered approach to
23	both employee standards and revenue
24	standards that I thought looked very
25	credible. Because that allows a lot of

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	small companies like ours to compete
3	within our own group or a larger size.
4	MS. HEAL: Excuse me. One of the
5	eleven items that we asked everybody to
6	testify on was the possibility of a
7	tiered size standard. And so that's what
8	you are advocating. And then there's
9	also should we create separate size
10	standards for government procurement?
11	MS. KANSAL: We now separate size
12	standards for government procurement.
13	Otherwise, it's irrelevant. A small
14	company could never penetrate on its own.
15	It would have to have certain
16	relationships. It would have to do it
17	somehow. But just a company with
18	integrity coming from the outside wanting Page 35

to compete, can never win. It's just not

19

20	going to happen unless there is a pool	
21	which allows you to compete within your	
22	own peer group. I guess that's my key	
23	point.	
24	MS. HEAL: There are small business	
25	set-asides. And you're saying you're not	
		40
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005	
2	seeing those set-asides.	
3	MS. KANSAL: I'm not seeing them. No.	
4	Maybe I haven't pursued them	
5	aggressively enough. But, no, we don't	
6	appear to be. It's not low-hanging	
7	fruit.	
8	MS. HEAL: You may want to talk	
9	to Deborah Libby, one of our PCRs after.	
10	She works with the government agencies.	
11	MS. KANSAL: Thank you, ma'am. Thank	
12	you for giving me the opportunity.	
13	MS. JANUS: I'd like to call Marianne	
14	Kemp. Is she here? And after her if	
15	she's here Nancy Napier.	
16	MS. KEMP: Hi. I just want to say	
17	good morning and thank you again for	
18	letting me come here today.	
19	As she mentioned, my name is Marianne	
20	Kemp. And I am the sole owner of Kemp	
21	Associates which does business as Express Page 36	

41

Personnel Services. I've been the owner

in the Hasbrouck Heights, New Jersey

22

23

24	location for almost two years now.
25	Actually started, introduced to the
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	industry about ten years ago.
3	I walked into the office of another
4	small business owner looking for a job
5	and was hired there in the office. I
6	started there as a staffing consultant.
7	I was there for almost eight years in a
8	couple of different positions. One thing
9	I learned is, even though we were doing
10	business as Express Personnel, the
11	actions in the office and what happened
12	within that office was all under the
13	control of that woman there.
14	We saw some very profitable years and
15	we saw some very difficult times also. We
16	saw clients who went bankrupt and left my
17	owner with large amounts of bad debt. We
18	saw Workers' Compensation cases which
19	raised the rates, and, of course,
20	affected our profits.
21	And everything that happens within
22	that office, whether it was positive in a
23	size standards or a loss side was under
24	that owner and their responsibility. Page 37

A F						_
25	During	m\/	AT ant	Vears	thoro	т
L J	Dui iliq	III y	CIGIL	ycais	CIICI C,	

Τ	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	decided that I too was looking to open my
3	own business. I looked at a couple of
4	different opportunities even within the
5	staffing industry. I looked outside as
6	well. I looked for franchising and for
7	independent opportunities also.
8	No matter what business I looked
9	into, there was one thing that was
10	consistent. I was going to be my own
11	owner. I was going to make the day-to-day
12	decisions in the office. And no matter
13	what happened, whether it was a success
14	or failure of my business was going to
15	rest on my shoulders.
16	So in 2003 I decided to take that
17	step. I gave up my guaranteed weekly
18	paycheck and I decided to open my own
19	business. I decided to stay within the
20	staffing industry and I decided to stay
21	with Express Services. I looked at them
22	They're a franchise company. And
23	they're in the business of franchising.
24	I've seen what they provided, what
25	products they provided, and what

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	assistance an opportunity they had for
3	other small business owners such as the
4	owner I worked under.
5	So I decided to go with Express
6	Services. So I opened my own business,
7	chose my own location, my layout. I
8	hired my own employees. And now I choose
9	what clients I want to work with. I set
10	my own profit margins. I do margins.
11	The vendors I want to work with I choose.
12	All those day-to-day decisions are under
13	my guidelines.
14	I do contract with Express
15	Services as well as far as payroll
16	services and also support services. But
17	as far as day-to-day functions,
18	everything rests on my shoulders here.
19	There's a lot of freedoms that come
20	along with owned business, but there's
21	also a lot of risks, as I mentioned
22	before. The clients don't pay, or, you
23	know, business is poor. That's my
24	responsibility. What happens in my
25	office in Hasbrouck Heights has no effect

	NowYork +v+
2	NewYork.txt on the other small businesses that also
3	do business express.
4	So the point that I was trying to
5	make in one on the list is just the
6	relationship between the franchisee and
7	the franchiser. It is a separate
8	relationship. As I mentioned, all day-
9	to-day functions are under my control.
10	The clients that I worked with, the
11	vendors that I work with, and obviously,
12	the risks that I take are under my
13	control also.
14	So just, in sum, a quick couple of
15	points. I do bear the entire risk of
16	financial loss for my business. I retair
17	the majority of the profits from the
18	business. I maintain day-to-day control
19	over the operations. I provide all of
20	the funding and all of the banking and
21	bank loans that I've gotten on my
22	shoulders as well. There's really no
23	common ownership between the franchiser

24

25

45

L	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
<u>-</u>	the services they provide. Thank you.
3	MS. HEAL: When you say you contract
ŀ	with Express Personnel, do you have a
	Page 40

and the franchisee except like I

mentioned, that in contract with them for

5	NewYork.txt choice to contract with somebody else for
6	all the back office and payroll
7	functions?
8	MS. KANSAL: That's what I'm
9	contracting with Express Services for.
10	MS. HEAL: Do you have an option to
11	go to somebody else?
12	MS. KANSAL: Sure. I could not
13	contract with them.
14	MS. HEAL: That's an independent
15	thing. Because a lot of the different
16	franchisers have franchisee agreements do
17	not give that option. So I wanted to
18	make that clear.
19	MS. KANSAL: When I went into the
20	staffing industry, I could chose to do it
21	on my own, get another payroll company to
22	do that with and open up Marianne
23	Temporary Associates. But I chose to go
24	with a franchisee agreement instead.
25	MS. HEAL: That franchise agreement

46

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	requires you to contract with them?
3	MS. KANSAL: For payroll services,
4	yes. That's what I'm contracting for.
5	MS. HEAL: That's a requirement with
6	franchisers.
7	MS. KANSAL: To use Express

Page 41

8	NewYork.txt Personnel, mainly, yes.	
9	MS. HEAL: The other thing is whose	
10	employees are you placing? Are you	
11	placing your own employees?	
12	MS. KANSAL: They're kind of both.	
13	Under IRS regulations I'm the employer of	
14	record for Express Services. I do all my	
15	recruiting, my screenings. I pay for the	
16	costs of all of that. It's done through	
17	my office.	
18	MS. HEAL: When you place the people	
19	you're placing, are not your people;	
20	they're the franchiser's people?	
21	MS. KANSAL: As far as the employer	
22	of record, they're Express Services, the	
23	franchiser.	
24	MS. HEAL: Okay. Thank you. Thank	
25	you very much.	
		47
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005	
2	MS. JANUS: I'd like to call Nancy	
3	Napier.	
4	MS. NAPIER: Hi. My name's Nancy	
5	Napier. I'm the owner of a small	
6	business. I'd like to thank you for the	
7	time and this opportunity and talk about	
8	the relationship between franchisees and	
9	franchisers in the staffing industry.	

10

Page 42

I've been an Express Personnel

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

48

1 Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 2 hire, train, supervise the employees that we send to our clients. We solicit new 3 business. Work hard to ensure client 4 satisfaction with our services. 5 6 I contracted with the franchiser 7 Express Services to supply me with back office support which includes payroll and 8 billing services. But the business is 9 10 solely mine. The control of the business is mine alone. Its successes and its 11 12 failures are mine, my responsibility 13 alone. I'm simply contracted with

NewYork.txt Services franchisee in Princeton, New

Jersev since 1998. So for more than

own business.

seven years I've been experiencing both

the joys and the struggles of owning my

My small business is currently at a

great disadvantage. I cannot qualify for

playing field that you, the SBA, fight so

My company is a staffing agency. I

SBA loans. I don't qualify for certain

government contracting opportunities.

And I've been excluded from the level

hard to maintain for small businesses.

run my office with a staff of four plus

myself. Together we recruit, screen,

NewYork.txt Express to be my support in the same way another small business might contract with their bank and office supply company, payroll or funding services, or an advertising agency. I'd like you to consider the following points in judging whether my business is a small business. My company is an LLC owned by myself and one other business partner, Patrick. It's distinctly separate and independent from

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 number, our own tax I.D. number, our own Workers' Compensation account.

Express Services. We have our own INN

All the sole responsibility of hiring, screening, placing, disciplining the associates that we place at our clients. I bear the full cost of those recruiting and backgrounds checks and all the costs of overhead in my business.

I negotiate and repay independently my loans, any loans that I might need.

My internal staff's payroll is paid by me, as well as their fringe benefits.

Because in the staffing industry people are our product. The payroll and the payroll taxes are what we invoice to our

17	NewYork.txt clients. I contract with Express
18	Services to perform the administrative
19	part of my accounts
20	receivable. But the receivables are
21	totally my responsibility.
22	And I'll give you a case in point.
23	When K-Mart filed for Chapter 11 they
24	left me with \$105,000 of outstanding
25	invoices. That was my responsibility to

1

50

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 pay. I needed to apply for a loan to be 2 3 able to pay that money back to Express 4 Services. That's money that could have paid a nice chunk of my mortgage. I was 5 6 at a real disadvantage because I couldn't 7 receive an SBA-guaranteed loan which 8 would have substantially reduced the 9 interest I paid. When you're studying the factors in 10 determining the small business status, 11 12 I'd like to ask you to keep these things 13 in mind. I bear the entire risk of financial loss from my business. I 14 retain the majority of the profits. 15 16 sounds familiar. Marianne already said 17 this. I provide the financing for my 18 company. And there's no common ownership 19 or management between the franchiser and

20	me.	
21	I'm confident that when you take	
22	these things into account you'll agree	
23	that my company is a totally separate	
24	entity from a franchiser. And, as such,	
25	you'll grant me and other owners like me	
		51
		31
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005	
2	the all-important recognition as a small	
3	business.	
4	Thanks for the opportunity.	
5	MS. HEAL: Thank you very much. I	
6	just want to you had mentioned that you	
7	wouldn't be eligible for government	
8	contracts. The reason for the government	
9	contracts is beyond SBA's control.	
10	The Office of Personnel Management in	
11	January 2004 put a regulation into effect	
12	that said that the employees that will be	
13	placed at government agencies must be the	
14	employees of the company placing those	
15	employees, so	
16	MS. NAPIER: I have the company	
17	placing the employees.	
18	MS. HEAL: But the employee of record	
19	is the franchise.	
20	MS. NAPIER: The employee for IRS.	
21	MS. HEAL: There's a legal	
22	reason. So that I just wanted to make	

Page 46

24	SBA decides for government contracts, you
25	would still have a problem, unless those
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	being placed were your employees.
3	MS. NAPIER: Okay.
4	MS. HEAL: Some of the franchisees
5	have already addressed that issue. I
6	just wanted to let you know that.
7	MS. NAPIER: We're requesting the
8	recognition as a small business.
9	MS. HEAL: I understand that.
10	MS. NAPIER: Thank you.
11	MS. JANUS: I'd like to call Amelia
12	Janisz. Is she here?
13	MS. JANISZ: All right. First of
14	all, it's Amelia Janisz. That's all
15	right. I answer to anything that vaguely
16	sounds like it at this point in life.
17	I appreciate the opportunity to
18	present to the SBA. There are some
19	things I can address. I'm the president
20	of a woman-owned small and disadvantaged
21	business. And we are environmental
22	engineers. So our
23	NAICS code is 54133. So there are
24	certain things which simply don't apply

NewYork.txt you aware of that. That no matter what

52

23

25

to us. We do not get venture capital.

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	We're neither sexy or glamorous, nor have
3	high returns. It's an old-line business.
4	Nobody funds you. You do it yourself.
5	We don't have franchisees. The big
6	companies never put out a franchise for
7	engineering. It's either you do it on
8	your own or you don't do it.
9	We've been in business about eight
10	years. And we are principally a
11	government contractor. So almost 99
12	percent of our business is government
13	business. So these rules are of great
14	interest to us. And I'm going to address
15	some of the issues that the SBA asked for
16	comments on.
17	One is the size standards. The other
18	one is grandfathering. And the other one
19	is the tiered sizes.
20	As far as the size standards go, I
21	would prefer to see a size standard based
22	on receipts. Because right now for the
23	engineering codes there's a 500-employee
24	size standard and then there's a receipts
25	size.

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	And the problem is that, well, there's
3	one code.
4	MS. HEAL: That's environmental
5	remediation.
6	MS. JANISZ: Yes. But I can't go
7	after the environmental remediation stuff
8	because I'm competing with 500-employee
9	firms. And there's no competition. With
10	12 employees and maximum of 15, I can't
11	go after those, you know. So I am
12	completely locked out of that entire
13	market.
14	MS. HEAL: Continue. We'll address
15	that after. I don't want to interrupt
16	you.
17	MS. JANISZ: That's okay. That's
18	okay. So anyway, that's one of the
19	issues that I have, is that if it comes
20	to environmental remediation and it says
21	small business, it isn't my small
22	business. I just blow by those when they
23	come out, business ops. Well, I'm not
24	competing with those people, you know.
25	The other thing is that one of the

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	things about the size standard also is
3	I've seen those people gaming the system Page 49

Because sometimes we have gone in on a 4 5 procurement together on something where 6 I'm a subcontractor and those people were 7 gaming the 500 employees. It was like, 8 well, I know the size standard. We're 9 okay because, you know, we're only like one over. But if one person is under, 10 11 we'll be fine. You know. And there's a 12 gaming of the system going on based on 13 the 500-employee. And I cannot compete 14 up with those people. 15 I would prefer to see it move to 16 receipt-based size standard. Because that's much more difficult to game. Even 17 18 you're under 4 million or you're not. 19 And that's it. 20 And on the comment about, you know, 21 the contractors who enter a dead zone or 22

And on the comment about, you know, the contractors who enter a dead zone or a limbo zone, I say tough nuggies, you know. These people know that they're coming up to the size limit. And it's not necessarily that you get a great deal

23

24

25

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	of work, frankly, with the federal
3	government based on whether or not you're
4	a small business. It's more based on
5	whether you're really qualified to do it
6	and if you turn out a good job. Page 50

7 If you're over the small business 8 size standard and you're competing with a 9 10,000-person firm, well, be very good at what you're doing. They'll select you 10 for being good. You know. I think 11 12 that's a concern where some people have been gaming the system. Myself, when 13 14 they say there's a dead zone or a limbo 15 zone. The other thing that I would like to 16 comment on is like it says there's the 17 18 tiered system. I would like to see some 19 sort of tiered system because it is very 20 difficult for me to compete at this point with 15 employees. The way we

21 22 successfully compete now is by basically

23 going underneath the radar, looking for

25 ones that don't hit the Fed biz ops. And

24

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 finding those and competing against other businesses our own size. But it's difficult.

the \$100,000 procurements or even the

57

I mean, it would be nice to see procurements coming out and Fed biz ops where I felt it was something that I would be able to reasonably compete against other small firms the same size Page 51

10	as mine.
11	Finally, on the standards of
12	grandfathering, again, I think this is
13	something where people are gaming the
14	system. I mean, if you know it's coming
15	up, well, then figure your niche. Be
16	very good in your niche. Know who to
17	market. And go out and do it. You'll
18	still get the work. If they're still
19	relying on the small business size
20	standards, they're using that as a crutch
21	in my feeling.
22	So those are the ones I can comment
23	on here. If you want to look at how to
24	break up the businesses, certainly for
25	our NAICS code, I would be looking at

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	Engineering News Record. Because that
3	will give you the top 500 firms and the
4	average receipts and so on for those
5	firms. And you know which is big. And
6	you know which is medium sized. And you
7	know which is small.
8	So, you know, that's kind of the
9	comments I'd like to make on this. So I
10	appreciate the opportunity to present.
11	And, you know, take any questions.
12	MS. HEAL: Thank you. I want to go Page 52

13	back to remediation. That's a total and
14	different NAICS code. You realize that.
15	MS. JANISZ: Yes.
16	MS. HEAL: And it's up to the
17	contracting officer to determine what the
18	proper NAICS code is. So if you don't
19	feel that that's the proper NAICS code,
20	you can always appeal that. But it's
21	what's being purchased. The \$4 million
22	and size standard.
23	Do you have any thoughts on that?
24	We've had in other hearings people like
25	the four million. People want it

59

1 Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 2 increased. People want it to go to a number of employees. I'm just curious. 3 4 MS. JANISZ: I think the four million standard is all right. I would not like 5 to see it go to a number of employees. 6 7 And I definitely would not want to see it increased. I mean, because like you 8 9 said, I know some of the people, some of 10 the bigger firms who are in just barely under 500 standard, game the system. So 11 12 if you're going to game the system that 13 way, as soon as we've got higher level, they're going to game it even more. It's 14 15 a very good indicator for who I'm Page 53

16	competing against, whether or not we're
17	actually competitive or not.
18	MS. HEAL: Thank you.
19	MS. JANUS: I'd next like to call
20	Daniel Sung.
21	MR. PARK: Thank you very much.
22	Actually, my name is Daniel Sung
23	Park. It's okay. I am the president and
24	CEO of Founder Card International. We
25	are an IT services firm. But I'm also

60

1 Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 2 the chairperson of the Minority Business 3 Enterprise Input Committee of the New York Chapter of National Minorities 4 5 Council. 6 In my position as an organization I 7 represent over 1300 small minority businesses in the New York area 8 representing over \$5 billion contracted 9 10 with Fortune 1000 companies. It is also the New York affiliate of the National 11 Minorities Prior Development 12 13 Council which represents over \$74 billion in contracted business. 14 What I'd like to talk to you about 15 16 today is, ultimately, I know that the first speaker, William, had said about 17 18 the mission of the SBA. And I believe Page 54

19	what he said was to protect the small
20	businesses. But I also believe the
21	mission of the SBA is to enable small
22	businesses to be able to compete to get
23	larger. Such as I think a reflex of
24	what's going on in the private sector
25	needs to be implemented in the public

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 sector.

what I mean by that is that currently in the current market in the private sector, for lack of a better term, an example, there's a consolidation of their supply list. What they do is they want to enable. They want less suppliers or meaning less small businesses. And they want to only use the largest companies and give them a greater volume of business.

As such, small businesses going into the private sector have a tremendous disadvantage. Even if you were to graduate to be a \$20 million company using my field and IT services firm, the reality of getting on the Wal-Mart list of 10, maybe five suppliers, most of the suppliers are all public companies, all billion dollar players. So for you to go Page 55

in as a \$20 million, \$21 million, let's

you're a \$22 million player to go into to

62

say 22, because you just graduated,

2223

24

25	market wal-Mart would be impossible.
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	So what I would like to see, and what
3	I'm proposing is to reflect on the tiered
4	system. And I think the tier system
5	should not also be an arbitrary number
6	what you believe may be reality. I think
7	it should be a continually monitored
8	number. I propose what I've seen read,
9	what I've read is where you would have,
10	let's say in my business you would take
11	the top 5 or 10 public companies, they
12	can be private companies. You can get
13	all the information, what their revenues
14	would be.
15	And, as such, take a certain
16	percentage. Let's say, 10 percent. So
17	all of the averages of all the companies
18	are about, let's say, \$5 billion. You
19	take 10 percent of that. And then from
20	that break that out into different tiers.
21	Because obviously a company in my
22	business that is 1 million versus \$20
23	million.
24	The one million dollar business does Page 56

25	have	а	significant	disadvantage	to
4 3	11ave	а	3 I YII I I Cali C	ursauvantaye	LU

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
compete, even against a \$20 million. So
the SBA, ma'am, or the VCP program, I
think there should be a tiered program ir
that regard so the other speakers had
mentioned another tiered system.
The other part of the tier especially
in the IT business our business, much
like the staffing industry is very
temporary in the sense it is all based or
contracts. Six months and nine months.
Even federal contracts are between six
months and nine months or a year. But
within that year you have certain
functions if you complete a certain
aspect of the project. You don't need
that person anymore. Meaning you'll have
a person for, in software there's front
end, middleware and there's back end.
There's different aspects. And you need
a specialist in front end, specialist in
the middle, specialist in the back end.
In the duration of a project, you may
handle the back end first. And once
you're done with the back end, then you

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	go to the middle. And once you're done
3	with the middle, you then go to the
4	front. But you don't want to have an
5	employee who's only specialized in the
6	front. You have him on your payroll for
7	six months or nine months before you
8	actually get to utilize his services as
9	such. And were using an employee
10	standard or employee size would be
11	completely incorrect for our industry.
12	Because most of the time our individuals
13	really only stay with our companies for
14	maybe on average between six months to
15	nine months. Then there's a turnover, a
16	natural turnover to the next project.
17	We do retain approximately 20 percent
18	of those individuals who we may find
19	another project for them to go on. But
20	really in the IT field it's a very
21	itinerant industry. Most of the
22	individuals understand it's project based
23	because their skills are so specialized.
24	And, as such, it's not the traditional
25	form of being with the company for 20, 30

	NewYork.txt
2	years that just really is not an
3	applicable term or standard.
4	So, again, thank for you the time.
5	Happy to answer any questions.
6	MS. HEAL: Fine.
7	MS. JANISZ: Thank you.
8	MS. HEAL: Now I'd like to call
9	Wendell Niles.
10	MR. NILES: I indicated that I I was
11	not prepared to testify.
12	MS. HEAL: You would prefer not to
13	testify. Okay. Peter Sherwood. Did you
14	want to testify?
15	MR. SHERWOOD: Good morning. My name
16	is Peter Sherwood. I have spent a
17	substantial part of my professional
18	working life in the areas we are here
19	discussing today. I was the Corporation
20	Counsel of the City of New York where I
21	had responsibility
22	for all of the legal business of the City
23	of New York and its affiliated agencies.
24	I was the Solicitor of the State of
25	New York where I was responsible for the

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	appellate work, appellate litigation work
3	of the State of New York. And opinions,
4	the opinion funding for the Office of the
	Page 59

5	Attorney General.
6	I have taught law courses at the New
7	York University School Of Law. And at
8	the City University of New York School Of
9	Law in Queens.
10	I was an assistant counsel at the
11	NAACP Legal Defense Fund here in New
12	York.
13	I've also served as a member of the
14	New York City Procurement Policy Board
15	and was on the New York State Ethics
16	Commission.
17	Today I'm a partner at the law firm
18	of Manatt, Phelps & Phillips where in my
19	practice I continue to represent
20	businesses doing business with government
21	entities.
22	I'm pleased to have spent my career
23	in and out of government dealing with
24	issues of public concern, like civil
25	rights, fair contracting, and, most

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
importantly, opportunity for all people.
The opportunity is the bedrock of our
society is what makes our nation great.
The small business program and aid
programs are part of that culture of
opportunity. These programs worked and
Page 60

NewYork.txt 8 have worked for many years. They provide 9 small and minority business owners the chance to compete and to succeed. I know 10 11 there are many issues here that we're here to examine today with the proposed 12 rule making. 13 I'm here, however, to address and 14 15 focus my attention on one, just one 16 point. That is the process by which small

point. That is the process by which small businesses graduate from small business and aided programs and move out of small business status. When a firm grows out of a small business status typically when it employs more than 500 people or has an average annual receipts in excess of \$6 million, it is no longer eligible to

23 million, it is no longer eligible to

remain in the program.

Under the current rules, however,

25

5

6 7

8

9

10

68

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
it is allowed to retain and fulfill,
through to completion, its existing smal
business contracts with all terms and
provisions intact.
This grandfathering of existing
contracts is a well-established rule

because it makes logical sense. It allows small businesses in the program to

grow and succeed. And to meet the

Page 61

NewYork.txt increasing needs and demands of their 11 government contracts. Their government 12 contracts may require without fear that 13 14 their very success could put them in 15 jeopardy of losing their existing businesses all at once upon growing 16 beyond the quote, small business, end 17 18 quote designation. 19 20

It provides an essentially transition period for both the business and the government to adjust to a new status. If the SBA were to abandon the current provisions, small business would actually have a disincentive to grow, succeed, and to graduate.

21

22 23

24

25

12

13

69

1 Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 2 For example, from the 8(a) program left, they suffer a huge 3 immediate and financial blow for the very 4 act for doing so. The ability to finance 5 6 and support new businesses and employees 7 could be lost, as well as creating a domino effect. 8 Given that opportunity is so central 9 10 to the program's mission, this kind of result would simply make no sense and 11

Page 62

choose to adjust the size standards for

should be avoided. However else you

	NewYork.txt
14	small businesses, I'm here to urge you to
15	leave the grandfathering provisions
16	intact, including for businesses who may
17	find themselves losing their, quote,
18	small business classifications because of
19	the changes the SBA makes in this
20	process. The grandfathering provision is
21	not simply an appendage to the program.
22	It is at the very core of its mission.
23	Thank you very much for the
24	opportunity to testify and for
25	considering my views.

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	MS. HEAL: Thank you, Mr. Sherwood.
3	Thank you, Mr. Sherwood.
4	You are aware that last January there
5	was one change. If a company is
6	purchased by another company, or if there
7	is a change of name, that the company has
8	to recertify that it is a small business?
9	MR. SHERWOOD: Yes.
10	MS. HEAL: You're aware of that?
11	MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you.
12	MS. JANUS: I'd next like to call
13	Milford Montrose.
14	DR. MONTROSE: Good morning. My name
15	is Milford Montrose of HUCS Consulting.
16	Forgive me for not having anything

NewYork.txt prepared. Last minute I heard about it.
But because of my involvement, my prior
involvement, I figure that I would come
here and share some views.
I'm fully aware that the SBA is
trying to revise the size standard and

last minute it was pulled off the table.

My records shows where I was constantly

in contact with the small business, me

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 being in Rockland County and now I'm in New York City. And I listened to the views of the last speaker. I share the sentiments of the small business. But I am here to speak about what I have accomplished and what I think should be done.

In terms of the business size 500 is not for a small business. Because let me give an example. After 9/11 the security issues, I am a small business, I own the company, period. All the shares. And that is why I'm still here. Because in terms of the economy, if there were many partners I would you have had to find somewhere else. The company would have had many shareholders. But, thank god, I make the decision.

And that is why in a small business I urge each individual who has to invent themselves in the era and don't depend unless they have the money on others' opinions. And that's why I went to school and got my Ph.D. in business.

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005

What happened is that the SBA, most people do not know, talk about the nonmanufacturing clause. A lot of individuals don't know. And those I didn't know. But I had a problem if you talk with the VA, and that's where I take myself. It didn't want, it came out and restricted, all restricted. And then I confront them with various clauses. They had no choice to go to the head counsel and concur in my decision. And I told them if they did not, then I'll seek just compensation. And they changed it.

I had the same situation in Texas.

Restricted me. Unrestricted on the 500,

I did the same thing. And during that

process I thought nonmanufacturing. What

happened, many of the firms are saying we

are a small business. What are you

bringing to the table? You know, for a

small firm, what can they at that point,

Page 65

	regardress or the teeminear knownedge,
24	what can they bring? They have to have
25	some form of a contract or a measuring.
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	And then they will build a staff. And
3	without that involvement they're like
4	myself. I have been in business since
5	1996 after I incorporated. And what the
6	firms are saying to me, they're a small
7	business. What is it that you're
8	bringing to the table? You're not
9	bringing a minority.
10	That's not what it's all about. You have
11	the knowledge. But you have to show us
12	what you're bringing to the table.
13	On the contrary. With 100 employees,
14	then the same businesses that are calling
15	themselves small, regardless of their
16	location, they have no choice than to
17	come and mentor us. Because we will be
18	able to sell their product to the
19	government, and thus, we will live to
20	grow from whatever size.
21	So as long as you keep it at 500,
22	it's a disadvantage regardless.
23	Regardless of whatever it is woman.
24	Regardless of what. You must reduce that

NewYork.txt regardless of the technical knowledge,

73

23

25

size to give us the opportunity to grow.

74

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	Because, again, as may have risen to the
3	security on all of our lists right now.
4	I'm so involved. Most of the companies
5	have said we want to work with you. You
6	are an asset. But as long as you keep it
7	at 500, they don't need me. They don't
8	need. But when you bring it down, then
9	that very one they will have to have
10	someone within that business size.
11	So during that process they seen
12	that it's a working relationship. But as
13	long as you keep it there, I don't see
14	how you can talk about small. Whether
15	it's a woman-owned business or general,
16	quotes, a small business. You're not
17	helping them. You have to help them to
18	grow. And the only way that you can do
19	this is by that.
20	So I thank you so much for your time.
21	And subject to that, I would say that's
22	the direction.
23	MS. HEAL: Dr. Montrose, thank you.
24	I just want to let you know that
25	originally that was in the proposed rule.

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	But because of the number of comments and
3	the importance on the nonmanufacturing,
4	that was pulled out. And we are going to
5	be proposing, we're putting together a
6	proposed rule now that will only address
7	the nonmanufacturing rule.
8	So we're taking that out, we took
9	that out of the original proposed rule
10	for size standards. And we're going to
11	address that separately. We're in the
12	process of
13	finalizing that rule. And as soon as it
14	gets through SBA and the Office of
15	Management and Budget it will be
16	published in the Federal Register.
17	DR. MONTROSE: I look forward to it.
18	MS. JANUS: I'd next like to call
19	Agatha Coggins. Would you like to
20	testify?
21	MS. COGGINS: I've decided not to.
22	MS. JANUS: You've decided not to.
23	That actually concludes the testimony
24	of all the people who have registered in
25	advance to speak.

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	Is there anyone else here that would
3	like to give testimony? And what is your

4	name?
5	MR. WATTS: Jim Watts, W-a-t-t-s.
6	MS. JANUS: Sure.
7	MR. WATTS: My name is Jim Watts. I'm
8	the owner of WATS International which is
9	a distribution company. And I would like
10	to make comment with reference to the
11	tiering of
12	sizes, if you will. And I want you to
13	just comment on the fact, distribution
14	size, how the distribution channel works
15	in this country. When you're comparing
16	from a manufacturing point of view to a
17	distributor like myself, a large
18	corporation can leverage just about any
19	kind of way they want to. And market
20	their product from a distribution
21	standpoint.
22	From a distributor's side we would
23	have to leverage volume over a wide range
24	of customers to be able to compete at a
25	volume level to get the right price that

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	they would need to compete against a
3	manufacturer.
4	And then in the industry also there
5	is what is known as buying groups. That
6	unless you make, unless you purchase up Page 69

to a certain dollar amount, you are 7 8 isolated from becoming a part of these buying groups to be able to leverage your 9 purchasing capability. So there's a 10 11 glass ceiling, if you will, from a small business side to compete with those 12 manufacturers that have tremendous 13 14 leveraging capabilities. 15 So the tier side of procurement 16 is very important when it comes to the value of a small business. Because they 17 18 are not able to compete at that level 19 with high volume. And the other portion 20 of that is restricted from a 21 manufacturing or a nonmanufacturing side. 22 They have restricted distribution based upon your territorial responsibility. 23 And so lots of cases you can't go in 24

25

78

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	restricted. And there might be another
3	distributor that seizes a government
4	account that has restricted distribution
5	for that particular area. So I just
6	wanted to point to that side.
7	And then also the unbundling, when it
8	comes to supply chain management, I think
9	it's very key to look at unbundling some Page 70

and get pricing because you're

10	of those long-term contracts to provide
11	greater tiering capability for small
12	businesses.
13	You find in large companies, like IBM
14	for an example, getting into global
15	procurement. And they provide a variety
16	of service under a NAICS code which
17	encompass just about everything I can
18	think of. Gain the capability of a small
19	business to participate for some of those
20	small individual purchases. And that's
21	where the growth opportunity will be for
22	companies like myself and other
23	companies. And those are the basic
24	comments that I had.
25	MS. HEAL: Thank you. Do you have a

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	card?
3	MR. WATTS: Yes.
4	MS. HEAL: Just so we can get all
5	your information.
6	MR. WATTS: I'll bring it right up.
7	MS. JANUS: Someone else has also
8	asked to make a presentation. And that
9	person's name is Raghu Arora.
10	MR. ARORA: Good morning. I'm Raghu
11	Arora. I represent ECC, a very small
12	business. And I'm the program director Page 71

13	for operations out of New Jersey.
14	A couple of points I just wanted
15	to make for ECC on this.
16	One is submitted this option to SBA
17	also in the past. We submit that the
18	size standards should be increased for
19	750 people.
20	Second, we definitely support the
21	SBA's views of the employee-based size
22	standards.
23	Third, ECC believes that the SBA's
24	immediate attention to application of
25	Small Business Act for overseas contract

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	is called for. Critically in
3	relationship to companies that are
4	working for the U.S. government in Iraq
5	and Afghanistan.
6	And, finally, we definitely encourage
7	the use of separate size standards for
8	government contracts as opposed to the
9	SBA's certification and loan programs.
10	So those are the four main points
11	which I wanted to get across.
12	Primarily for the size standards,
13	it's been ten years since the SBA
14	recognized ERS as an emerging industry
15	and an expanding area of government Page 72

16 procurement. At that time, SBA had 17 suggested \$18 million standard for this new industry. But raised it to 500 18 people based on receipt of comments from 19 20 the public. I think it's time to update 21 the size standards to 750 people. It's 22 been over ten years. 23 Another point of the same, especially for companies that are working overseas, 24 25 like Iraq and Afghanistan. We would like

1

18

81

2 to propose please an interim size 3 standard of 750 people in case the permanent one can't be done that quickly. 4 5 Because it takes a lot of logistical 6 support a lot of resources, a lot of 7 security. That the companies have to go 8 and hire employees to make sure we can 9 compete with the large businesses. 10 Another point is since the last ten years the industry standards for the 11 12 industry that support the ERS has 13 doubled. For example, heavy construction, engineering services, R&D in the physical 14 15 engineering and allied sciences. But the 16 SBA stand for mediation itself has not. As we proposed we go to 750 people 17

employees.

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005

Page 73

19	On another point, small businesses
20	performing overseas we propose student
21	policies that would improve small
22	business participation in the federal
23	contracts overseas. We believe that
24	adapting size standard principles with
25	overseas markets will give more small

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
businesses access to overseas federal
procurements. And encourage them to
capitalize on the globalization trends in
the overseas private markets as well.
In the battlefield exemption, small

In the battlefield exemption, small businesses, prime contractors working in Iraq and Afghanistan have encountered an inadvertent oversight in the size standard. First, these contracts include additional requirements to support the U.S. goal, such as hiring local laborers, subcontractors, and security guards.

Second, the political instability calls for far higher overhead for insurance and additional head count for security.

Again, point that I've made, we recommend the size determinations should not include revenues earned from or individuals employed in the performance Page 74

of contracts in hostile countries such as

I'm going to make one more point. And

83

Iraq and Afghanistan.

2223

24

25	my last one. Small businesses that
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	perform overseas contracts, even in
3	peaceful areas, face similar size
4	pressures. Core competence aside,
5	overseas logistics, place large revenue
6	and personnel demands on small
7	businesses.
8	These individual characteristics are
9	inherent in overseas operations, as we
10	know. And should be accounted for in the
11	size standard. Doing so will definitely
12	attract more small businesses to overseas
13	U.S. contracts.
14	I think those were my main points
15	that I really wanted to make. Thank you.
16	MS. HEAL: Thank you very much. Can
17	we have a copy.
18	MR. ARORA: Definitely I will give it
19	to you.
20	MS. JANUS: Is anyone else present
21	who would like to testify at this time?
22	Okay. Just in case some people show up
23	that want to testify and haven't gotten
24	here yet, we're going to take about a Page 75

25 ten-minute break and reconvene. And if

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	at that time no one is here to present
3	testimony, then we'll conclude then.
4	MS. FASANO: If anyone would like to
5	speak to any of the contracting people
6	during the break, Debra Lebow is our
7	consumer representative. She covers the
8	federal agencies in the New York area.
9	Sandy Wu sitting right there. Sandy's
10	one of our size specialists. She's also
11	a COC specialist and she's got a
12	subcontracting portfolio of large
13	businesses, monitors small business
14	plans. And Melinda Chen sitting beside
15	her does the same. We also have some
16	procurement diskettes for people, if the
17	would like to take that information. It
18	has directories, points of contacts with
19	the federal agencies for the businesses,
20	marketing tips, useful Web sites, and
21	information I think you would
22	find very useful to help your small
23	business grow. Thank you.
24	MS. JANUS: And there is a cafeteria
25	as well as rest rooms on this floor.

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	(Short recess.)
3	MS. JANUS: We do have one more
4	additional speaker. And if anyone else
5	would like to speak please, register.
6	I'd like to call Kenneth Isaacs to
7	testify.
8	MR. ISAACS: Good morning. My
9	company's name is Altec Services. And we
10	target the transit industry, primarily
11	providing them with technical services,
12	warrant fee service and modification type
13	of work to trains and buses. I did not
14	come today prepared to testify. And also
15	I was not aware of these size changes,
16	the changes to the size standards and
17	everything else. Because, you know, I
18	catch some of my mail, not all of it. A
19	lot of the business that I do keeps me on
20	the road constantly. I get back, you
21	know, and I look at all the mail.
22	Sometimes I catch up on a week's worth of
23	mail, whatever. Last week I caught up
24	with this one. And I decided I wanted to
25	be here just to hear what this is all

2	NewYork.txt about. And I'm glad that I did come.
3	And I had a little bit of I wasn't
4	sure whether I wanted to testify or not.
5	But it was interesting that a couple of
6	people came up here and said some things
7	that I think affects my business as well.
8	But I don't know.
9	They said it as clearly as I think I
10	can say. Simply this: Before 9/11 my
11	general liability insurance was very,
12	very affordable. Also, I think some of
13	the classifications the NAIS
14	classifications under which my company
15	operate, some of them I'm judged on the
16	revenue, on the size of my revenue and
17	some of them size number of employees.
18	What has happened is that I didn't
19	hear anybody here talk about the cost of
20	doing business in today's environment as
21	a small business. Since 9/11 our general
22	liability insurance went up. I went from
23	12,000 a year to 65,000 a year this last
24	month, liability insurance.
25	My Workers Comp because of the

L	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	industry that I work in is very, very
3	high.
1	My health insurance, I have to shop
	Page 78

NewYork.txt 5 around every year. These are the things 6 that I focus on besides having to 7 recertify myself with all these various 8 agencies so I can continue to be a small 9 business and continue to be competitive. what happens to me is that in order 10 11 for me to be able to continue doing 12 business, that I have to find more jobs 13 and get more employees. I'm supplying 14 labor force. My stuff, my business is

15 labor-intensive. I'm supplying people to

16 an industry. The more people I get, the

more my costs go up, because the Workers'

Compensation and health insurance costs

19 and everything else. I can't pass all

20 these costs on to my employees. I absorb

21 most of it myself in order to be able to

22 keep these guys, in order so they can

23 work and afford good health insurance for

themselves and their families.

So like the fellow said, the guy that

25

1 2

7

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
came up before me, you know, he was at
500. Now he's asking to go to 750. I
would like for industries like ours that
are so labor-intensive, like the ladies
talking about the Kelly thing over there,
they're a little different. But if they

NewYork.txt 8 did get to where I am today, if they were 9 recognized as a small business and they were granted the loans and everything 10 11 else that they're looking for, eventually in order to remain viable they have to 12 grow their business. And when they grow 13 14 their base and get data based upon 15 revenue, it will kill them. They will 16 not be able to survive. I would like to see industries like 17 18

I would like to see industries like ours that are providing people to various industries be judged on size standards.

But maybe, and I'm not quite sure. I have to read some more about that. Maybe that tier level thing you guys were talking about. So that there are different tiers in order to accommodate the good doctor over here talked about

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2	wanting to be able to compete in his own
3	peer group.
4	The SBA thing. The MB thing.
5	The DB thing. It has to be able to
6	accommodate every type of small business
7	and every different level of revenue and
8	sizes. I am not quite sure what that
9	would be right now. But, in the interim
10	if we continue to go in the direction

	NewYork.txt
11	that we're going where people are
12	businesses or being judged upon their
13	revenue.
14	A lot of us are not going to be doing
15	business. A lot of us are not going to
16	be in these programs anymore. We're not
17	going to be here anymore. So I would
18	like to see businesses like ours be
19	judged on size standards; on the number
20	of employees as opposed to revenue.
21	Thank you very much.
22	MS. HEAL: Thank you very much.
23	MS. JANUS: I'd now like to call
24	Harold Suggs to testify.
25	MR. SUGGS: I guess I just walked

1

90

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 2 right into it. Right. Okay. My name is 3 Harold Suggs. I own a company called the Harvis Organization. H-a-r-v-i-s. We're 4 a small communication agency, PR 5 corporate litigation, so on. I'm coming 6 7 from Asbury Park, New Jersey. So I apologize for being a little late this 8 9 morning. 10 I would like to look at this from a 11 little different perspective this morning. I know we're talking about size 12 standards. When you looked at the overall 13 Page 81

NewYork.txt project or concept in regards to how we're approaching this. And I know we're the Small Business Association. There are rules already established for making sure that small businesses have an access to government contracts as opposed to large companies, and so forth and so on. And we all know that 97 or 98.7 percent of all employees are really represented by small businesses. But as I began to do my research, a couple of

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
actually. And after a while it kind of
honed in on just one. That being for
whom does the bell really toll.
I know we're talking about the Small

questions kept keeping back at me,

I know we're talking about the Small Business Administration. But it appears that from my little research here, that past policies of the SBA and the OMB, Office of Management and Budget, if I can talk, seems to have fostered the diversion of small business contracts to large firms in the U.S. as well as Europe. I mean, I was a surprised to see that Nike is considered a small business by the current standards that are being implemented right now. AT&T wireless,

17	NewYork.txt BAE, Northrup-Grumman.
18	MS. HEAL: No.
19	MR. SUGGS: I'm saying at one time
20	they were.
21	MS. HEAL: No. There was a glitch in
22	the CCR system where the companies who
23	put the person, who put the information
24	in when you are talking, you talking low
25	man on the totem pole. You had some
1	cuall pusiness Aubit matical June 16, 2005
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	offices that were putting in location
3	information instead of companywide
	in Comment in the second of th

2	offices that were putting in location
3	information instead of companywide
4	information. They were not getting any
5	government contracts because of that.
6	And once we discovered that, that
7	situation was corrected.
8	MR. SUGGS: How long did you it
9	takes?
10	MS. HEAL: Took maybe two, three
11	weeks.
12	MR. SUGGS: No contracts were awarded
13	in between?
14	MS. HEAL: Not to those companies.
15	Because companies have to certify in
16	Section K of their contract. That they
17	are a small business.
18	Now they have to certify and keep
19	electronic certifications on the online

Page 83

20	NewYork.txt representations certification system.
21	MR. SUGGS: Let me just read off the
22	companies. Hewlett-Packard.
23	MS. HEAL: NO.
24	MR. SUGGS: Oracle?
25	MS. HEAL: Never got a contract.
	nor never got a contract.
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	MR. SUGGS: Raytheon?
3	MS. HEAL: The only way those
4	companies may have shown up in a report
5	was those companies had purchased a small
6	business. And because of the regulations
7	at that time, which have been changed,
8	that for the life of the contract because
9	of the bureaucratic nightmare it creates,
10	that the companies would be considered
11	small for the life of that contract.
12	In December of last year, we put
13	something out last year and went in
14	effect in December of last year. If a
15	company is now purchased by another firm,
16	no matter who they are or if they change
17	their names, they have to recertify that
18	they are a small business on that
19	contract.
20	MR. SUGGS: Now, what happens if they
21	initially come in and, as you say, are
22	purchased by a larger company. I mean,

Page 84

23	NewYork.txt do they lose their status as a small
24	company.
25	MS. HEAL: They lose their status as
23	MS. HEAL. THEY TOSE CHETT Status as
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	a small business.
3	MR. SUGGS: What happens to the
4	contract?
5	MS. HEAL: The contract will continue
6	to be in effect. But no longer be
7	considered a small business.
8	MR. SUGGS: But originally it was.
9	MS. HEAL: Originally it was because
10	a small business won it. If the company
11	was purchased three years after it was, I
12	had one, the contract, it's no longer
13	considered a small business contract for
14	the remainder of that contract.
15	MR. SUGGS: But you hear my point.
16	If initially on January 1st, let's just
17	say 2004.
18	MS. HEAL: It was a set-aside
19	opportunity that went to a small
20	business. But then the contract, I mean
21	the company three years down the road is
22	purchased by another company. And
23	they're no longer a small business.
24	MR. SUGGS: Right.
25	MS. HEAL: That contract is no longer
	Page 85

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	counted as a small business towards that
3	goal.
4	MR. SUGGS: They continue to hold
5	onto the contract.
6	MS. HEAL: They have to because you
7	can't just cancel a contract in the
8	middle of performance. For example, if a
9	company is in the middle of developing an
10	avionics system or doing a support service
11	for our people over in Iraq or
12	Afghanistan, you're going to tell me
13	you're going to cut that and cancel that
14	contract in the middle of contract
15	performance?
16	MR. SUGGS: You don't have to plan to
17	cut it out. But you can phase it out.
18	MS. HEAL: It would be. The task,
19	probably if it was a task order contract
20	the task would not be issued as a small
21	business anymore. Okay.
22	MR. SUGGS: Okay.
23	MS. HEAL: But you're not going to
24	cancel performance because a company was
25	purchased by another company.

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	MR. SUGGS: Okay.
3	MS. HEAL: I mean, just a logistical
4	nightmare. Think of the chaos you could
5	cause to the Department of Defense or
6	any other government agency if we had to
7	cancel performance.
8	MR. SUGGS: Well, I understand what
9	your point is. But again
10	MS. HEAL: And we've taken the
11	matters and have addressed the situation.
12	And there is a rule right now that as
13	soon as it gets through SBA and OMB, we
14	had proposed on task order contracts two
15	years ago. We're awaiting final review.
16	MR. SUGGS: Vernon, N.V which is a
17	Dutch company of environment, are they
18	considered a small company?
19	MS. HEAL: No, they're not considered
20	a small company.
21	MR. SUGGS: At one time have they
22	received a contract, a small company?
23	MS. HEAL: I doubt it very much.
24	MR SUGGS: I have definite documents
25	that they received at least a hundred

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	million dollars in small business
3	contracts.

4	MS. HEAL: Maybe because they had
5	purchased another small business.
6	MR. SUGGS: Is that fair, to be a
7	small business guy who can't share in the
8	contract?
9	MS. HEAL: To cancel the contract?
10	MR. SUGGS: If you hire me to do
11	something and I'm doing it illegally,
12	you're not going to keep me on?
13	MS. HEAL: No. You're in and out
14	doing it illegally, sir.
15	MR. SUGGS: I'm just saying if a
16	person doesn't meet the qualifications
17	that are initially set up and he or she
18	comes in as who they are, and maybe at
19	that time they are, and later on they no
20	longer meet that qualification, why do
21	they keep the contract?
22	MS. HEAL: Because you can't cancel a
23	contract in the middle of performance.
24	MR. SUGGS: Is there a written
25	MS. HEAL: Do you know how long it

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	takes to get a contract out?
3	MR. SUGGS: I understand that. It's a
4	long time. My point is that somewhere
5	those contracts should be phased out.
6	MS. HEAL: They will. Like I said, Page 88

7	if the task orders aren't issued anymore,
8	if it's a task order contract.
9	MR. SUGGS: But if the contract is a
10	multiple award contract?
11	MS. HEAL: That's a task order
12	contract.
13	MR. SUGGS: And you're saying those
14	are like for 20 years?
15	MS. HEAL: Yes. No longer considered
16	as a task order.
17	MR. SUGGS: And so somewhere in the
18	eighth year they become larger than they
19	initially were. They still continue to
20	keep the contract for the remaining 12
21	years?
22	MS. HEAL: Not as a small business.
23	MR. SUGGS: As a large business?
24	MS. HEAL: As a large business.
25	MR. SUGGS: That's a contradiction in

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	that.
3	MS. HEAL: It depends on how that
4	contract was awarded.
5	MR. SUGGS: Let me ask you this now.
6	Some of my research found, rather there
7	were some companies who had
8	misrepresented their initial position as
9	a small company. Falsified Page 89

10	MS. HEAL: They would have the right
11	to appeal that to the SBA. And a
12	decision will be made and statements,
13	penalties can be had.
14	MR. SUGGS: My question to you, has
15	anyone to your knowledge been penalized
16	under Rule 16(d) which is
17	MS. HEAL: Yes. I was involved in
18	one about 15 minutes ago.
19	MR. SUGGS: Excuse me?
20	MS. HEAL: I was involved in one
21	about not 15 minutes, 15 years ago.
22	We prosecuted about two or three
23	companies.
24	MR. SUGGS: Are you holding me to the
25	five minutes for answering questions that

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	are asked of me?
3	MR. MANGER: It wasn't a forum for
4	debate.
5	MR. SUGGS: Just comments I was
6	saying.
7	MS. JANUS: You can go ahead.
8	MR. SUGGS: You answered one of my
9	questions.
10	What was also disturbing to me is
11	that I found at least in my research that
12	the SBA seems to solicit input from prime

13 contractors in large companies regarding small business policies and issues and 14 15 concerns. That seemed to be a contradiction to me in regards to, well, 16 17 just from my research I have found that. 18 I also found that Defense Department now controls the small business database; 19 20 is that correct? Which I understand that they are really adverse, have been for 20 21 22 years or thereabouts, adverse to meeting 23 small business contractual goals. And 24 they themselves have awarded about \$47 billion in large urban contractors and 25

1

101

2 larger suppliers. 3 For me, basically, I will sum up. I was just concerned. It doesn't appear 4 that there's checks, well, it does appear 5 there are checks and balances. But the 6 7 checks and balances are not being used 8 properly to assure small businesses fair 9 access to contracts. Because it's hard 10 enough to start a small business. And, 11 as you know, a lot of small, over 50 12 percent or thereabouts of small 13 businesses that start up, actually falter. 14 15 But you make it even harder if you're

Page 91

Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005

NewYork.txt concentrating on government contracts for

16

17

18

17	a business to survive if you're not	
18	giving them fair access to these	
19	contracts. And to now look at maintaining	
20	or allowing grandfathering to stay in the	
21	mix makes it even rougher for a small	
22	business to get access to these	
23	contracts.	
24	My position would be, first of all,	
25	you go back to square one where the 1963	
		102
		102
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005	
2	Act created the 23 percent. You push	
3	that up. Well, okay. 1953. Was it '53	
4	or '55?	
5	MS. HEAL: '53 is when the agency was	
6	established. Goals weren't established	
7	until 1978.	
8	MR. SUGGS: 1978. I beg your	
9	pardon. But those should be moved up. I	
10	really think the issue of grandfathering	
11	should be moved out of the picture.	
12	Again, you have enough problems as a	
13	small company to try to when you're	
14	competing against larger guys who can	
15	come in and buy a small company and still	
16	have those contracts remain in place.	

all, small companies. Page 92

Individuals don't have access to those at

19	MS. HEAL: I also want to set the
20	record straight. DOD does not control
21	the Small Business Administration
22	database. There is a what they call an
23	Integrated Acquisition Environment that
24	oversees all electronic. It's part of
25	the E.Gov. initiative, I don't want to

103

1 Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005 2 say creating one big, giant, that is one 3 giant entry point where companies in order to do business with the government 4 5 must be registered in the Central 6 Contractor Registration system in order 7 to get paid. That's a payment system. 8 Office of Federal Procurement policy 9 decided several years ago that the entry 10 point for SBA's dynamic small business 11 search, or ProNet system as it was called at that time, would be through CCR. So a 12 13 company only has to register once. We are still in the process of ironing some 14 15 of the kinks out of the system. 16 And also now there is in order for a company to certify that it is a small 17 business, a large business, an SDB, a 18 19 minority. Only if they have to certify in the Online Representation and 20 21 Certification system, ORCA. And that is Page 93

104

Section K of the contract. I think I

just wanted to explain that.

22

23

24	MR. CHAPMAN: Can I ask a question?
25	MS. JANUS: Who are you?
1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	MR. CHAPMAN: I'm Lloyd Chapman of
3	the Small Business League.
4	Who manages CCR?
5	MS. HEAL: Who manages CCR?
6	Integrated Acquisition Environment.
7	MR. CHAPMAN: Isn't that the
8	Pentagon?
9	MS. HEAL: No, that's GSA.
10	MS. JANUS: Is there anyone else
	·
11	present who would like to give testimony
12	this morning? Okay. Since no one else
13	has expressed an interest in testifying,
14	I would like to call upon Administrator
15	William Manger to make the closing
16	remarks for today's hearing.
17	MR. MANGER: Thanks again, Karen. And
18	thank you all to the panelists for being
19	present. And most importantly those who
20	came forward to testify today at these
21	size standard hearings. I wanted to just
22	conclude. Again, thank you all for your
23	participation. It's very important to
24	have as much participation as possible so Page 94

25	that	when	the.	proposed	rula	making	anas
23	tiiat	wiieii	tile	proposed	Tule	mak i ny	ques

105

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	forward, we can take the public's views
3	into account. And I assure you that SBA
4	will carefully study all of the remarks
5	and testimony that was given here today.
6	We will start the deliberations on
7	new size standards policy as soon as we
8	have the final hearing in Los Angeles
9	which will be held on June 29th. And a
10	new policy proposed as quickly as
11	possible thereafter. The public will have
12	an opportunity at that time to comment on
13	any specific proposals which issue in the
14	future.
15	Again, your participation will help
16	us to do a better job for you. And I
17	thank you very much. Have a good day.
18	MS. HEAL: Thank you for coming. This
19	public hearing is adjourned.
20	(Time noted: 10:50 a.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Small Business Arbitration - June 16, 2005
2	
3	CERTIFICATE
4	
5	STATE OF NEW YORK)
6	ss:
7	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
8	
9	I, ROBERT M. LEVINE, CM, a Shorthand
10	Reporter and Notary Public within and for
11	the State of New York, do hereby certify:
12	That the hearing hereinbefore set
13	forth is a true record of the testimony
14	given.
15	I further certify that I am not
16	related to any of the parties to this
17	action by blood or marriage, and that I
18	am in no way interested in the outcome of
19	this matter.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
21	set my hand this 27th day of June, 2005.
22	
23	
24	
25	ROBERT M. LEVINE, CM